Peckinpaugh for Congress COMMISSION

PO Box 615 Essex, CT 06424 2011 MAR 22 AM 11: 27

RECEIVED

March 16, 2011

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Jeff S. Jordan
Supervisory Attorney
Federal Election Commission
999 E 5t. NW
Washington, DC 20463

Re: MUR 6457

Dear Mr. Jordan,

I am writing to you in response to your letter of 3/1/2011 regarding a complaint filed against Peckinpaugh for Congress by Nancy Dinardo of Hartford, CT. As the letter was mailed to the campaign PO box I did not get it until Monday 3/7/2011.

The complaint alleges shortcomings in our reports for the periods 7/22-9/30/2011, 10/1-10/13/2011 and 10/14-19/22/2011. Specifically, that a number of disbursements were unexplained. When I originally was made aware of the complaint, I reviewed the filings and amended all three reports to include a narrative explanation of each expenditure. The omissions were my fault. When entering the expenses in the scattware provided by the FEC, I thought it was sufficient to eategorize the expenditures from the drop down means in the section entitled "category code". After making inquiry of Kondra Hannon at the FEC, I was made aware that the description field was required, so I made the corrections and amended the returns as indicated above.

The other allegation by Ms. Dinardo relates to the deficit position of the campaign as of December 31. The campaign does indeed have a deficit. We are required to disclose all obligations of the campaign regardless of whether they have been paid, which we did. There are several vendors who have not been paid in full and we are presently negotiating with them to settle the remaining debts of the campaign. When they have been settled we will file the appropriate report. Should you require details as to whom, specifically, the funds are due, I would be happy to provide that information.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please fael free to contact me directly.

Paul L. Maxwell, Treasure Peckinpaugh for Congress

cc: Janet Peckinpaugh